



# **Draft 2011 Legislative Platform**

**City of Santa Barbara**

Revision Date: 05/12/2011

CITY ADMINISTRATION		
SCOPE		Issues regarding <u>local authority, state and federal mandates</u> , election law and administration, tort reform, open meeting law, <del>(the</del> Brown Act), the Public Records Act, the Political Reform Act, and other conflict of interest laws.
<u>LOCAL AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION</u>	<u>All Departments</u>	<u>Support</u> the ability of local governments to enact local standards or regulations that differ from those enacted at the state and federal level regarding any issue described in the legislative platform. <u>Oppose</u> legislation or regulation that restricts local authority and discretion.
<u>STATE AND FEDERAL MANDATES</u>	<u>All Departments</u>	<u>Support</u> funding for any improvements, programs, and projects required of the City through state or federal mandates. <u>Oppose</u> unfunded state or federal mandates.
<b>OPEN MEETING LAW (THE BROWN ACT)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open Meetings</li> <li>• Restrictions</li> <li>• Closed Sessions</li> <li>• <del>Privacy Rights</del></li> <li>• <del>Personnel Issues</del></li> <li>• <del>Property Acquisitions</del></li> <li>• <del>Attorney/Client Privilege</del></li> </ul>	<u>Administrative Services/City Attorney</u>	<del>Support</del> legislation that recognizes the need to conduct the public's business in public. To this end, <del>the City supports the regulation of the state and other public agencies to ensure conformance to the principles of the open meetings provision in the Ralph M. Brown Act.</del> <u>Support</u> legislation that extends application of the Brown Act to the State. <b>Oppose</b> legislation claiming to enhance open and public meetings that in practice unnecessarily complicates the ability of a city to properly communicate with the public and that discourages communications among governing body members through unproductive restrictions and inappropriate activities. <b>Oppose</b> legislation that would impose further <del>unnecessary</del> restrictions on the action that a governing body can take in closed sessions. <u>Support</u> legislation that would revise the Brown Act's definition of a "meeting" so as to restore to a quorum of the members of local councils, boards, and commissions the normal human right to freely discuss issues that might come before them, both in public and in private, while maintaining the requirements that all final legislative decisions must be made in an open, publicly noticed meeting as elsewhere stated in the Brown Act. <u>Support</u> legislation that recognizes the realities of other constraints under which a local governing body must operate that necessitates judicious use of closed sessions, including: <del>□The privacy rights granted to individuals under the U.S. and California constitutions;</del> <del>□The personnel issues that have a potential impact on an individual's career and potential earning capacity and that raise serious liability questions for a local jurisdiction;</del>

		<del>□The protection of the taxpayer's interests over property and other acquisitions by a public agency; and</del> <del>The proper maintenance of the same attorney-client privilege enjoyed by the private sector.</del>
<b>ALLIANCES</b>	<b>All Departments</b>	<b>Support</b> alliances with counties, schools, other cities, employee organizations, other local agencies, and business and professional organizations to support cooperation, sound financial policies, and joint action. <b><u>[MOVED FROM REVENUE AND TAXATION]</u></b>
<b>ELECTIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Costs/Procedures</li> <li>Mail Ballots</li> <li>Ballot measures</li> <li>Challenges</li> </ul>	<b>Administrative Services/City Attorney</b>	<b>Support</b> legislation that reduces any unnecessary and costly procedures for conducting a municipal election. Oppose legislation that mandates costly and unnecessary procedures related to the local election process. <b>Support</b> <u>municipal vote by mail ballot</u> elections <u>for a county election</u> . <b>Support</b> the requirement that the intent and text of a local ballot measure is to be filed with the City Clerk <del>and published in a newspaper of general circulation, and with</del> a filing fee. <del>With regard to any land use measure, support allowing the City Council to refer it to the planning agency for a report on the measure's effects.</del> <u><b>Support</b> publishing the title of a local ballot measure in a newspaper of general circulation instead of the full text.</u> <del><b>Oppose</b> any legislation or regulation that would prohibit legal action from being filed by any person(s) challenging the validity of the local initiative petition or ordinance after the date of the election.</del>
<b>RECALL ELECTIONS</b>	<b>Administrative Services</b>	<del><b>Support</b> legislation that maintains the integrity of the municipal recall process.</del> <del><b>Support</b> legislation that reduces the amount of local recall abuse while improving, streamlining, and ensuring that the public has full knowledge of the issues.</del>
<b>INSURANCE</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Proof</li> <li>•Penalties</li> <li>• Earthquake</li> </ul> <b><u>[MOVED TO PUBLIC SAFETY SECTION]</u></b>	<b>City Administrator</b>	<del><b>Support</b> the statewide administrative program that requires a motorist to have proof of insurance to register a vehicle with the Department of Motor Vehicles.</del> <del><b>Support</b> uninsured vehicles being subject to impoundment, and redeemed only with proof of insurance, with unredeemed vehicles being sold to pay for the cost of the program.</del> <del><b>Support</b> the creation of a state non-profit corporation to issue earthquake insurance policies to cover the cost of replacement or repairs to structures damaged by earthquake. The primary concern of the City in this issue should be maintaining an affordable housing market in local communities.</del>

<b>POLITICAL REFORM ACT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practices</li> <li>• Local Authority</li> <li>♦Prop 208</li> <li>• Reproduction Costs</li> </ul>	<b>Administrative Services</b>	<p><b>Oppose</b> regulations and legislation that would restrict or preempt local <u>regulation of campaign financing authority</u>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> appropriate efforts to improve and streamline the Political Reform Act and its implementation through regulations.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> a legislative amendment to Proposition 208 that permits a candidate with excess campaign funds to give those excess funds to a charity of his or her choice in addition to all of the options provided in Proposition 208.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> an increase in the fee for the reproduction of statements required under the Political Reform Act from ten cents (\$0.10) per page to twenty-five cents (\$0.25) per page.</p>
<p><del><b>SMOKING AND TOBACCO CONTROL</b></del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Statewide</del></li> <li>• <del>Minors</del></li> </ul> <p><del><b>[MOVED TO PUBLIC SAFETY SECTION]</b></del></p>	<p><del><b>City Administrator</b></del></p> <p><del><b>Police</b></del></p>	<p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that establishes a statewide smoking and tobacco control standard, as long as such legislation does not preempt the ability of cities and counties to enact local laws that are stronger than the statewide standard or to regulate in areas not covered in the statewide standard. Oppose legislation that would restrict such local authority.</del></p> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that limits the ability of minors to obtain tobacco products.</del></p>
<p><del><b>TORT LITIGATION REFORM</b></del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Exposure</li> <li>• Liability</li> <li>• Business Climate</li> </ul>	<b>City Attorney</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that limits the exposure of local governments to lawsuits related to liability, including but not limited to such areas as unimproved natural conditions, design immunity, hazardous recreational activities, and injuries due to wild animals in public places.</p> <p><b>Support</b> modifications to the joint and several liability laws that require the responsible parties in a civil action to pay only their fair share of judgment based on their relative responsibility.</p> <p><b>Support</b> civil justice reform measures designed to improve the business climate in California. The City Attorney will evaluate these measures on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p><b>Support</b> limitations on lawsuits that have little merit by eliminating the availability of provisions for fee recovery by petitioners or by authorizing cities to collect their fees and costs in cases where they are the prevailing party. <u><b>[MOVED FROM ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SECTION]</b></u></p>

REVENUE AND TAXATION		
SCOPE		Issues and recommendations related to finance administration, taxation reform, and revenue needs, and revenue sources at the federal, state and local levels.
EFFECTIVE FINANCING	Finance	<b>Support</b> meaningful fiscal reform that allows each level of government to adequately finance its service responsibilities.
BEST USE OF FUNDS	Finance	<b>Support</b> the emphasis on efficiency and effectiveness, encouraging governments to achieve the best possible use of public resources.
ALLIANCES	Finance	<del><b>Support</b> alliances with counties, schools, other cities, employee organizations, other local agencies, and business and professional organizations to support cooperation, sound financial policies and joint action. <b>[MOVED TO ADMINISTRATION SECTION]</b></del>
ECONOMIC STIMULUS	Finance	<del><b>Support</b> an economic stimulus package that creates a balance between investments and tax incentives.</del>
LOCAL CONTROL Simple Majority	Finance	<p><b>Support</b> state and local authority to collect legally due sales tax on <u>Internet or other</u> remote transactions.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> continued local control over public rights-of-way.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> local, <u>political authority control</u> and accountability for revenues raised and services provided.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> the preservation of local authority and accountability for cities, and state policies that:</del></p> <p><del>Ensure the <b>Support the</b> protection of existing city revenue sources for all cities, including the local share of property tax, sales tax, vehicle license fees, <u>COPS funding</u>, and Redevelopment Agency revenues, among others.</del></p> <p><del>Allow every level of government to enjoy budgetary independence from programs and costs imposed by other levels of government.</del></p> <p><del>Support the League of California Cities and other associations in the placement of the Local Taxpayers, Public Safety and Transportation Protection Act on the November 2010 ballot.</del></p> <p><del>Support legislation to prevent the State of California from borrowing or redirecting funding that voters have dedicated for local public safety, transportation, transit and essential local government services.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> policies that <u>Authorize</u> a simple majority of the voters in a city or county to</p>

		establish local priorities, including the right to increase taxes or issue general obligation bonds.
<b>STATE MANDATES REIMBURSEMENT</b>	<b>Finance</b>	<b>Support</b> full and prompt reimbursement to all local agencies for all state-mandated programs and/or infractions and losses associated with local revenue shifts <del>and reforms to accomplish such objectives.</del> <u><b>Support</b> reforms prohibiting the State from deferring mandate payments.</u> <u><b>Oppose</b> unfunded state and federal mandates.</u>
<u><b>DISASTER RECOVERY</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> disaster recovery legislation that mitigates losses experienced by local governments.</u>
<b>ADDITIONAL REVENUE FOR LOCAL NEEDS</b>	<b>Finance</b>	<b>Support</b> efforts to bring additional revenue to the state/local revenue structure to meet the needs of a growing population, <del>and deteriorating</del> service <u>reductions</u> , and <u>deteriorating</u> facilities <u>and other capital infrastructure.</u>
<u><b>PUBLIC, EDUCATIONAL AND GOVERNMENT (PEG) ACCESS TELEVISION</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> legislation that preserves PEG access television and allows PEG access funding to be used to support basic operational costs, including employee salaries, as well as capital maintenance and replacement.</u>
<u><b>VIDEO-FRANCHISE FEES</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> local government ability to receive compensation for use of its public-right-of-way, including but not limited to gas, electric, refuse, and cable and video providers.</u> <u><b>Oppose</b> any legislation that would reduce video franchises fees or PEG access fees.</u>
<u><b>FEDERAL STREAMLINED SALES &amp; USE TAX AGREEMENT (SSUTA)</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> the League of California Cities position regarding any federal attempts to impose a uniform sales tax structure on California or any amendments that would directly undermine California's existing utility users tax structure.</u>
<b>SITUS-BASED SALES TAX – BRADLEY BURNS</b>	<b>Finance</b>	<b>Support</b> efforts to preserve and protect the existing situs-based sales tax under the Bradley Burns 1% baseline.
<u><b>TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX (TOT)</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> efforts to collect the full amount of local transient occupancy tax from online sales of lodging.</u> <u><b>Oppose</b> any legislation that would prohibit local voter-approved transient occupancy taxes.</u>
<b>REGIONAL REVENUES</b>	<b>Finance</b>	<b>Support</b> the identification and implementation of multi-jurisdictional revenues in cases where regional issues, programs, and services are identified. <u><b>Support</b> the ability of school districts to collect existing parcel tax revenue imposed in any former district upon merging with one or more districts to form a single district.</u>

<u><b>UTILITY USERS TAX (UUT)</b></u>	<u><b>Finance</b></u>	<u><b>Oppose</b> legislation that would prohibit local governments from collecting utility users tax from modern telecommunication and video technologies, including but not limited to cell phones, Internet-based telephone services (VoIP), and other wired or wireless technology.</u>
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	--

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS		
SCOPE		Issues related to the field of labor relations and human resource management.
<b>LABOR RELATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local Authority</li> <li>MOU</li> <li>Mutual Agreement</li> </ul>	City Administrator	<p><b>Support</b> efforts to promote, initiate, and improve both public and private sector labor-management relations.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> interference with management rights or the ability of cities and their employees to bargain on matters within the scope of representation.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> legislation that specifically exempts local public agencies from the requirement to negotiate with any labor or special interest group about matters submitted to the voters of that jurisdiction as initiatives or Charter amendments.</u></p> <p><u><b>Support</b> the long-held position of California courts that public employees cannot engage in strikes.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> local government control of the budget process related to <u>employee</u> compensation.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> any legislative action that requires the continuation of the terms of any Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between a public agency and an employee organization until a successor MOU is agreed upon.</p> <p><u><b>Oppose</b> any extension of the State Public Employment Relations Board jurisdiction over local public agency labor relation disputes and charges of unfair labor practices, and to any interference or intervention in local collective bargaining by any labor-management relations councils or boards.</u></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> state-mandated compulsory <u>labor arbitration,</u> mediation, or fact-finding processes <del>that are not mutually agreed upon by the local public agency and its employee organizations, except as provided by local law.</del></p>
<b>EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Civil Rights/EEO</li> <li>Sexual Harassment</li> <li>Standards</li> <li><u>CFCL/FMLA</u></li> <li><u>Family Leave</u></li> <li>Sexual</li> </ul>	City Administrator	<p><b>Support</b> legislation furthering the purpose and clarifying the application of state and federal civil rights and equal employment opportunity laws.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>a consistent standards for hostile sexual harassment cases by adopting the federal "reasonable victim" standards in matters before the FEHC. Support changing the standard from the "viewpoint of a reasonable victim" to a standard utilizing the "perception of reasonable persons of the same gender as the claimant," in order to shift from a gender-based sexual harassment standard to a plaintiff-based standard.</del> <u>discrimination and harassment claims between state and federal law.</u></p>



Orientation		<p><b>Support</b> efforts to conform the California Family Care Leave Laws to the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA).</p> <p><b>Support</b> the amendment of federal civil rights legislation to prohibit employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.</p>
<b>PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (PERS)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coalition</li> <li>• Public Pension Systems</li> <li>• Exemptions</li> <li>• Limitations</li> <li>• Fraud</li> <li>• PERS Credit</li> <li>• Disability</li> <li>• Airport Patrol</li> <li>• Pension System Reform</li> <li>• Employer Rate</li> <li>• Part-Time Work</li> <li>• Mandates</li> <li>• CalPERS Board</li> </ul>	Administrative Services	<p><b>Support</b> the PERS Coalition (PERS/PAC) and its efforts to maintain or further the interests of contracting agencies.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> PERS pension system reform that will reduce long term costs for participating agencies, can be defended to the taxpayer in public debate, will provide long-term financial stability and sustainability, and will allow agencies to continue to be effective locally in recruiting and retaining a skilled, quality work force.</u></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> preemption of charter city authority over their public pension systems.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> an exemption for retired PERS employees, allowing them to work for a PERS agency under contract or appointment by the local agency.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> certain limitations upon recoveries under judgments against public retirement systems.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <u>efforts to reduce retirement benefit fraud and</u> a requirement that the PERS Board adjust or cancel the retirement allowance of any person convicted of making fraudulent benefit claims.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> extending the period for which employees may purchase PERS service credit for periods of lay off to five years.</del></p> <p><b>Support:</b> (a) reducing all disability retirement payments for employees hired after a certain date; (b) imposing an earnings test for persons receiving industrial disability retirement; (c) requiring state departments to identify annual unemployment and disability payments in separate budget items; (d) requiring persons receiving disability retirement payments to obtain an annual medical examination; (e) prescribing a 60% cap on payments for either job-related or non-job-related disabilities; (f) eliminating the tax-exempt status of disability retirement payments; (g) requiring mandatory reinstatement for employees certified able to work by medical exam; and (h) discontinuing disability retirement payments if the employee rejects reinstatement.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation to allow a PERS contracting agency to voluntarily elect to amend its PERS contract to provide safety retirement benefits to California peace officers</del></p>

		<p><del>serving as armed Airport Patrol Officers.</del></p> <p><del><b>Support</b> pension system reform that will reduce long term costs while remaining effective in recruiting and retaining a skilled, quality work force.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> a requirement that all plan assets of an employer plan, including “excess assets” be used in the determination of the employer rate.</p> <p><b>Support</b> allowing local agencies to make an exclusive determination of whether to utilize excess assets to offset costs of plan amendments.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that mandates large increases in compensation during the final year of employment, which have the effect of increasing retirement benefits.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> requiring an employer to continue to pay the salary of a member while PERS makes its decision on the member’s application for involuntary disability retirement.</p> <p><b>Support</b> expansion of the membership of the CalPERS Board of Administration to include <del>one</del> new members appointed by the League of California Cities.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> increasing the transparency of non-pension post-retirement benefits and subsidies.</u></p>
<b>WORKERS’ COMPENSATION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Injuries</li> <li>• Workers’ Compensation</li> <li>• Claim</li> <li>• Medical</li> <li>• Presumptive Injury</li> <li>• Legal process</li> </ul>	Finance	<p><b>Support</b> the principles <u>that describe an industrial injury or illness using a of narrow view on</u> causation and definition of injury.</p> <p><b>Support</b> requiring the employee to prove by clear and convincing evidence that sudden or extraordinary employment conditions were the predominant causes for the injury.</p> <p><b>Support</b> existing workers' compensation laws to be liberally construed only after an injury is deemed "specific" and consists of serious physical or bodily harm.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the cost containment of medical expenses for workers' compensation claims.</p> <p><u><b>Oppose</b> regulations or legislation that would require increased employer medical costs for workers’ compensation.</u></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> regulations or legislation that would expand the definition of a “presumptive” injury, or create new “presumptive” injury categories that lack a basis in current proven medical science.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that would permit an employee to use more than one legal process in regard to disability claims (i.e., ADA, workers’ compensation, DFEH), or</p>

		any other erosion of the “exclusive remedy” principle as it relates to disability claims covered under workers’ compensation.
<b>EMPLOYMENT-RELATED ISSUES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandates</li> <li>• OSHA</li> <li>• FEHC</li> </ul>	Administrative Services	<p><b>Support</b> the special protection of elected officials, county public defenders, public figures and public employees acting in their official capacity against threats of death or serious bodily injury.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal and state legislation affirming the establishment of tax exempt employee savings accounts for retirement health expenses.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> state-funded recruitment and succession planning programs to address expected high levels of employee retirement turnover within state and local government service.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> a mandatory Social Security tax on any public sector employees or employers by the federal government.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> any state or federal mandate of benefits on local agency employers, including, but not limited to, benefits for temporary or part-time employees, <del>domestic partner benefits</del> and veterans’ preferences.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> the mandated inclusion of governmental entities for Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA) violations without appropriate compensation for the mandates.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> extending the filing dates for Fair Employment and Housing Commission (FEHC) charges <del>from one to two years</del>, and oppose permitting the FEHC to provide affirmative or prospective relief to prevent the recurrence of an unlawful practice.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation limiting the ability of public agencies to monitor public employees’ use of public assets and resources.</p>
<b>FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA)</b>	Administrative Services	<p><b>Support</b> federal legislation to modify inappropriate sections of the FLSA as it relates to local governments, including, but not limited to, the administrative and professional exemptions, salary tests, and the definition of hours worked.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the position that the FLSA was inappropriately applied to state and local governments through court decisions and was never designed to regulate public sector employment <u>and the repeal application of the FLSA as it relates to local government.</u></p>
<b>DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP</b>	Administrative Services	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that would delete the current state criteria that persons in a Domestic Partnership must be members of the same sex or be over 62 years of age.</p>

PUBLIC SAFETY		
SCOPE		Federal and state legislation and issues related to law enforcement, fire and life safety policies, <del>including</del> emergency communications and <del>emergency</del> services, <del>including ambulance</del> and disaster preparedness.
<b>FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mission</li> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Transport</li> <li>• Staffing</li> </ul>	Fire	<p><b>Support</b> the fire service mission of saving lives and protecting property through fire prevention, disaster preparedness, hazardous-materials mitigation, specialized rescue, etc., as well as cities authority and discretion to provide all emergency services in their communities.</p> <p><b>Support</b> local control of emergency medical services and authorize cities and fire districts to prescribe and monitor the manner and scope of pre-hospital emergency medical services, including ambulance transport services, provided within local boundaries to improve pre-hospital emergency medical service.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation, regulations and standards that impose minimum staffing and response time standards for city fire and emergency medical services since such determinations should reflect the conditions and priorities of individual cities.</p>
DISASTER RESPONSE	<u>Fire</u>	<b>Support</b> funding for improved public notification mechanisms <u>during natural disasters and other emergencies</u> , including continuous radio reporting <del>during natural disasters and other emergencies</del> .
<u>INSURANCE</u>  <u>[MOVED FROM CITY ADMINISTRATION SECTION]</u>	<u>City Administrator</u> <u>Police/Fire</u>	<p><b>Support</b> the statewide administrative program that requires a motorist to have proof of insurance to register a vehicle with the Department of Motor Vehicles.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> uninsured vehicles being subject to impoundment, and redeemed only with proof of insurance, with unredeemed vehicles being sold to pay for the cost of the program.</del></p> <p><del><b>Support</b> earthquake insurance policies to cover the cost of replacement or repairs to structures damaged by earthquake.</del></p> <p><u><b>Support</b> state legislation providing Fair Access to Insurance Requirements (FAIR) Plan for last resort earthquake and fire coverage in high risk areas.</u></p>
FEDERAL FUNDING	Police/ Fire	<b>Support</b> federal funding for public safety, including the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant, Homeland Security Block Grant, the <u>Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)</u> program, Assistance for Firefighters Grant, Staffing for Firefighters and Emergency <del>R</del> esponse Grant, Fire Prevention and Safety Grants as well as other public safety funding sources.
<b>FEDERAL HOMELAND SECURITY PROTECTION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communication</li> </ul>	Police/ <u>Fire</u>	<p><del><b>Support</b> direct federal funding to cities, without any match requirements to support local protection efforts with incentives for regional collaboration without any match requirements.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> direct communication between federal agencies and local authorities on critical homeland issues.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Standards</li> <li><u>Broadband Network</u></li> </ul>		<p><b>Support</b> federal standards, guidelines, and <del>protocols</del> <u>funding</u> to ensure reliable, consistent, timely, and redundant preparedness on the front lines.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> a nationwide, interoperable wireless broadband communication network for public safety first responders.</u></p> <p><del><b>Support</b> local control over front line responses.</del></p>
<p><b>LAW ENFORCEMENT</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Penalties</li> <li>Violent Offenders</li> <li>Cost Reimbursement</li> <li>Booking Fees</li> <li>Parking Citations</li> <li>Data Collection</li> <li>Predators</li> <li>Racial Profiling</li> <li>Deportable Criminals</li> <li>Smoking</li> </ul>	Police	<p><b>Support</b> the promotion of public safety through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stiffer penalties for violent offenders; and</li> <li>Additional funding for local agencies to recoup the costs of crime and increase community safety.</li> </ul> <p><b>Oppose</b> booking fees and seek their repeal, while encouraging localities to pursue resolution of the issues with their respective counties.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that allows mailing parking citations to violators <u>when the violation was identified and confirmed through digital imaging technology.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> data collection on hate crimes based on race, national origin, religion, gender, or sexual orientation and improved federal-local communication and coordination on hate crimes.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal action to identify predatory lending practices and increase federal enforcement action against lenders who target vulnerable populations, including, but not limited to, elderly, low-income families, and racial minorities.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal anti-racial profiling legislation that provides financial support to state and local law enforcement agencies for training, equipment, and data collection.</p> <p><b>Support</b> reimbursement by the federal government to local agencies, specifically cities, for the costs associated with incarcerating deportable criminals.</p>
<p><b>NUISANCE CONTROL</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adult Entertainment</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li><u>Medical Marijuana Dispensaries</u></li> <li>Drugs</li> </ul>	Police	<p><b>Support</b> enhanced local control over public nuisances including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adult entertainment facilities;</li> <li>Problem alcohol establishments; <del>and</del></li> <li><u>Medical marijuana dispensaries; and</u></li> <li>Properties where illegal drugs are sold.</li> </ul> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation to allow cities and counties to designate “Alcohol Impacted Areas” and impose strict local review and controls on the issuance of new Alcohol and Beverage Control (ABC) permits within such areas.</del> <u><b>MOVED TO NEW HOMELESSNESS AREA IN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SECTION</b></u></p>

<b>SMOKING AND TOBACCO CONTROL</b>  <u><b>[MOVED FROM CITY ADMINISTRATION SECTION]</b></u>	<b>City Administrator</b> <b>Police</b>	<del><b>Support</b> legislation that establishes a statewide smoking and tobacco control standard, as long as such legislation does not preempt the ability of cities and counties to enact local laws that are stronger than the statewide standard or to regulate in areas not covered in the statewide standard. Oppose legislation that would restrict such local authority.</del> <b>Support</b> legislation that limits the ability of minors to obtain tobacco products. <b>Support</b> legislation that reduces the impacts of environmental tobacco smoke. <del><b>Support</b> legislation providing that all 477 California cities be equitably included in the distribution of moneys that the state receives from the Tobacco Settlement Memorandum of Understanding.</del>
<b>VIOLENT CRIMES</b>	<b>Police</b>	<b>Support</b> the reduction of violence through strategies that address domestic violence, youth access to tools of violence, including but not limited to firearms, knives, etc., <del>and those outlined in the California Police Chiefs Policy Paper endorsed by the League of California Cities' Board of Directors.</del>
<b>GRAFFITI</b>	<b>Police</b>	<del><b>Support</b> the "Tag You Lose" anti-graffiti campaign.</del> <b>Support</b> increased authority and resources devoted to cities for abatement of graffiti and other acts of public vandalism.
<b>CHILDREN/YOUTH</b>	<b>Police</b> <b>Parks and Recreation,</b> <b>Community Development,</b> <b>and Library</b>	<del>See page 25 for the following issues in this category:</del> <del>• Job Training • Prenatal Care • Youth Program • Federal Grants • Natural Policy • After School • Equal Access • Educational Programs • Parks and Recreation Facilities • Library funding • Universal Health Care</del>
<u><b>GANG VIOLENCE</b></u>	<u><b>Police</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> programs and funding for cities to prevent gang violence and enhance prevention, intervention and enforcement efforts.</u>

## ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**SCOPE:** Issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

<p><b>AIR QUALITY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improvements</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Land Use</li> <li>• Local Involvement</li> <li>• Fund Diversion</li> <li>• Programs/Standards</li> <li>• Health/Safety Code</li> </ul>	<p><b>Public Works/Community Development</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> regulatory changes and infrastructure improvements that will reduce air pollution.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that will reduce air quality standards or restrict <del>a city's</del> permitting <u>and land use planning authority to minimize authority for land uses that may negatively affect negative</u> air quality <u>impacts</u>.</p> <p><del>Oppose</del> state regulatory changes that mandate restrictions on residential development setbacks from high vehicle traffic without local input</p> <p><del>Support</del> inclusion of city officials on the governing boards of air districts.</p> <p><del>Support</del> cities having the authority to establish local air quality standards and programs that are stricter than state and federal standards and oppose efforts to restrict such authority, while reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation redirecting <del>the</del> funds authorized by Health and Safety Code Section 44223, <del>which currently are used by local governments</del> for locally based air quality programs.</p> <p><del>Support</del> funding for infrastructure improvements required via regulatory changes that will reduce air pollution.</p>
<p><b>WATER QUALITY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infrastructure investment</li> <li>• Standards</li> <li>• Liability</li> <li>• Federal Safe/Clean Water</li> <li>• "Bounty Hunters"</li> <li>• Water Softeners</li> <li>• Watershed Management</li> <li>• Treatment</li> <li>• Reclaimed Water</li> <li>• Reuse</li> <li>• Graywater</li> <li>• Numeric Limits</li> <li>• Diversion</li> <li>• Financial Commitment</li> </ul>	<p><b>Public Works/Community Development</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> <u>a renewed state and federal financial commitments to funding for</u> water infrastructure, <u>surface water quality improvement, and urban creek restoration, especially for cities with a history of effective management of water and wastewater infrastructure</u>.</p> <p><del>Support</del> state and federal financial commitments to surface water quality improvement and urban creek restoration.</p> <p><del>Support</del> the ability of cities to enact discharge and water quality requirements or standards that are stricter than state or federal standards, while reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation to protect public agencies that provide wastewater treatment services, from liability for pollution or contamination to groundwater <u>resulting</u> from chemicals <u>that are</u> unlawfully discharged into the collection system.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to improve the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and the Federal Clean Water Act and <del>their</del> implementation procedures to protect public health and the environment in an efficient and effective manner.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation to enact "bounty-hunter" rewards for individuals who identify water quality or water pollution violators.</p>



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Frivolous Lawsuits</li> <li>• Fees/Penalty Revenues</li> <li>• NPDES</li> <li>• State/Regional Discretion</li> </ul>		<p><b>Support</b> <del>the rights of cities to enact ordinances that</del> <u>restrictions on</u> the use of water softeners <u>to minimize impacts to wastewater treatment operations</u>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> regulations and legislation that promote watershed management as a <u>tool to improve</u> water quality <del>tool that appropriately spreads the responsibility for clean water beyond the requirements that apply to point source dischargers and publicly owned treatment works</del>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that encourages the <u>cost-effective</u> treatment of municipal wastewater for non-potable reuse, <u>authorizes funding for projects improving, enhancing, or expanding the use of recycled water</u>, and maximizes the responsible use of reclaimed water as an alternative to California's fresh water supply.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>legislation that removes barriers to gray water systems in public, commercial, industrial, multi-family, and single family projects</del>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that updates gray water standards, <del>and</del> encourages simple and cost effective permitting process of gray water systems, <u>and removes barriers to installing gray water systems in public, commercial, industrial, multi-family, and single family projects</u>.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that requires the use of unreasonable numeric limits in waste discharge permits and storm water permits.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to set <u>clear well-defined</u> water quality goals <del>as occurred with solid waste diversion legislation</del>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>federal financial commitment to cities that have a history of effective management of water or wastewater infrastructure requirements/investments</del>.</p> <p><b>Support</b>, as appropriate, state and federal legislative and administrative remedies that would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limit <del>frivolous</del> citizen lawsuits <del>for personal financial gain for minor violations of the Clean Water Act</del> but continue to allow injunctive relief for documented violations <u>resulting in substantial documented impacts to water quality of the Clean Water Act if the violations are not the subject of enforcement proceedings by state agencies or by local water quality control boards</u>;</li> <li>• Ensure that the <del>majority of</del> funds collected from a city as fees, penalties, or judgments are directed toward correcting <del>the cause of past, current and projected violations of a city's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit</del>;</li> <li>• Return limited discretion to state and regional water quality control boards in setting penalties to allow fairness based on severity and circumstances of the violation; and</li> <li>• Encourage the state and regional water quality control boards to use their existing discretionary authority, <u>in keeping consistent</u> with the requirements of the Clean Water</li> </ul>
---	--	--



		<p>Act, to issue permits that are reasonably achievable.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that promotes water conservation.</p> <p><del>Support funding for mandated infrastructure improvements that will reduce water pollution.</del></p> <p><del>Support the review and evaluation of proposals for state water taxes.</del></p>
<b>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local Control/Standards</li> <li>Streamlining</li> <li>Administering Agencies</li> <li>Building Permits</li> <li>Land Use</li> <li>Biodiesel</li> </ul>	<b>Public Works/Community Development and Fire</b>	<p><b>Support</b> the ability of local governments to enact local standards or regulations that are stronger than those enacted by the state and federal governments.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to streamline and coordinate hazardous materials regulation among various levels of government, including city fire departments and county environmental health departments.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>the ability of</del> city fire departments <del>to be as the</del> administering agencies for <del>any of the major</del> hazardous materials <del>laws regulations</del> or to be the lead agency (the Certified Unified Program Agency) <del>under the SB 1082 program to approve the use and storage of hazardous materials above certain threshold limits and to manage inventory information used by emergency first responders.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation or regulations to restrict such authority.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> efforts to restrict the ability of cities to issue building or other permits <del>it is now authorized to issue,</del> relative to hazardous materials <del>laws</del>, as long as such facilities comply with <del>the local zoning ordinance and</del> established health and safety <del>standards regulations.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> any proposals that would preempt the ability of a city to deny a land use permit or restrict its ability to issue a conditional use permit for the siting of a hazardous waste facility.</p> <p><b>Support</b> regulation that allows <del>the storage of</del> biodiesel <del>storage</del> <del>(for blends</del> up to <del>blends of</del> B20) in existing Underwriters Laboratory (UL) rated in ground tanks that have secondary containment and working leak detection systems, at the discretion of the local jurisdiction.</p>
<b>REVITALIZATION OF BROWNFIELDS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local Control</li> <li>Additional Funding</li> <li>Mitigation</li> <li>Remediation</li> <li>Restrictions</li> <li>State Involvement</li> <li>Owner</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development/ <u>Public Works</u></b>	<p><b>Support</b> <del>protecting cities' ultimate say on whether a proposed determination that</del> brownfield remediation projects <del>are is</del> consistent with local land use policy.</p> <p><b>Support</b> additional fiscal resources and options to restore and develop urban and industrial brownfields contaminated by hazardous materials.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>the establishing site –specific clean-up remediation</del> levels <del>of a project being</del> based on <del>the site's its</del> proposed use (i.e., parking garage, as opposed to residential development).</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>placing and keeping mechanisms, such as</del> restrictive covenants or deed restrictions, <del>in place</del> to ensure that <del>the level of remediation is appropriate for the</del> a future <del>land use</del> use <del>for the property is appropriate given the level of remediation.</del></p>

Responsibility		<p><b>Support</b> <del>continued</del> state <del>agencies having the</del> responsibility <del>to do the</del> for technical evaluation <del>for</del> site assessments and remediation plans.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>a property owner being required</del> <u>continued the site owner responsibility to accomplish</u> <del>do the</del> for necessary site assessments and <u>remediation</u> <del>clean up if the owner plans to develop the site.</del></p>
<b>INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Market development</li> <li>• Electronic waste</li> <li>• Curbside recycling</li> <li>• Multi-Unit Housing Recycling</li> <li>• Local Law Enforcement Agencies</li> <li>• Land Use</li> <li>• Landfill Certification</li> </ul>	Finance / Community Development	<p><b>Support</b> legislation and other efforts to increase the markets for recycled materials, including advance disposal fees, minimum content laws, and recycling market development zones.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation <del>implementing the concept of</del> <u>to strengthen</u> manufacturer responsibility for electronic waste <u>recycling</u>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to strengthen curbside recycling programs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation to expand the container types included in the <u>California Redemption Value Program</u> <del>AB-2020 bottle bill program</del>.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that promotes reduced packaging and Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR).</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that requires owners of multi-unit housing to provide recycling to the tenants.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation to develop a permit process for solid waste anaerobic digestion and/or conversion technologies that are capable of minimizing the amount of solid waste landfill and do not impede or impair existing and planned recycling and waste reduction programs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>the ability of jurisdictions to impose a fee or tax on single-use bags. legislation and other efforts to promote the use of multi-use bags by consumers and to reduce the prevalence of single-use bags in the environment.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> <u>legislation and other efforts that facilitate collection and proper disposal of waste pharmaceuticals.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> the right of cities under existing law to be designated as Local Enforcement Agencies for solid waste facility permitting, inspection, and enforcement.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that would preempt local land use authority over solid waste facilities, restrict the ability of a city to issue a land use permit for a solid waste facility, or restrict the ability of a city to <u>apply</u> <del>conditions to</del> such facilities through the conditional use permit process.</p>
<b>UTILITIES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Public Utilities Commission</li> </ul>	Public Works	<p><b>Support</b> <del>the constitutional right of municipal utilities to operate outside the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and oppose any legislation that would erode the ability of municipal utilities to operate, or place them under PUC control.</del></p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rate Setting</li> </ul>		<p><b>Oppose</b> any legislation that interferes with local utility rate setting authority.</p>
<p><b>CLIMATE PROTECTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incentives</li> <li>Fuel Efficient</li> <li>Renewable Energy</li> </ul>	<p><b>Public Works/Community Development</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist local governments, businesses, and the public <u>to</u> invest in energy efficient equipment and renewable energy technology.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> incentives for local governments to complete an inventory of local government facility greenhouse gas emissions, and to conduct an inventory of their whole jurisdiction.</del></p> <p><u><b>Support</b> grants and other financial incentives for local governments to implement state mandates, including completing and updating an inventory of local government facility greenhouse gas emissions, conducting and updating an inventory of their whole jurisdiction, setting emission reduction targets and monitoring progress, and creating emission reduction action plans.</u></p> <p><u><b>Support</b> grants and other financial incentives for local governments to assess vulnerability and develop and implement adaptive management measures for climate change effects on public health, sea level rise, coastal erosion and inundation, flooding and wildfire hazards, water supply and water quality, biological resources, energy demand, local economy, and other effects.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that streamlines permitting requirements and costs for energy producing facilities on federal land or which exempts small energy plants (less than 5 mW) from FERC licensing requirement, and require only state and local regulations, as applicable.</p> <p><b>Support</b> grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist the public and local governments in using alternative fuels and purchasing fuel efficient <u>and/or flex fueled</u> vehicles.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that increases energy efficiency requirements as part of the building codes as long as they are healthful.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation to permit assignment of the Public Goods Charge to local governments for implementation of energy conservation programs and projects.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation and measures that encourage renewable energy generation, <del>remove roadblocks to</del> <u>facilitate</u> renewable resource development, and provide incentives for small renewable generation projects.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation or regulations allowing wheeling of power and <del>/</del> or appropriate remuneration for energy provided to the grid by municipalities.</p>

<p><b>CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fair Argument Test</li> <li>• Master EIR Funding</li> <li>• Notification</li> <li>• Duty to Respond</li> <li>• Timelines for CEQA Contract</li> <li>• Arbitration</li> <li>• Bounty Hunter</li> <li>• Re-Circulation</li> <li>• Notices</li> <li>• Effect on Environment</li> <li>• Significant Thresholds</li> <li>• Indirect and Cumulative Effects</li> <li>• Alternative Site Requirement</li> <li>• No Project Alternative</li> <li>• Coastal Commission Authority</li> <li>• Frivolous Appeals</li> <li>• Offshore Development Authority</li> <li>• Lead Agency</li> <li>• Restrictions/Mandates</li> <li>• Local Standards</li> <li>• Habitat Plans</li> <li>• Environmental Review</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Development</b></p>	<p><b><u>Procedures and Notices</u></b></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> the elimination of the fair argument test as the threshold for determining whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).</p> <p><b>Support</b> the development of a funding source for Master EIRs <u>to streamline individual project CEQA reviews as proposed in the Little Hoover Commission report.</u></p> <p><del><b>Oppose</b> shifting the responsibility to notify responsible agencies from the Lead Agency to the State Clearing House.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> shielding Lead Agencies from responding to comments received more than 30 days after a Notice of Preparation (NOP) or received verbally.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> limiting Lead Agency response to late comments received following issuance of the Final EIR and prior to certification.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> eliminating <del>subdivision (b) of Public Resources Code Section 21151.5, which the</del> mandate<del>s</del> the timeline for entering into CEQA <u>consultant</u> contracts.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> adding a “CEQA arbitrator” option to the requirement that each county over 200,000 designate a “CEQA judge.”</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>voluntary efforts and encouragement of</del> <u>mediation and</u> arbitration <del>/mediation</del> in CEQA disputes but not mandatory arbitration.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> limitations on lawsuits that have little merit by eliminating the availability of provisions for fee recovery by petitioners or by authorizing cities to collect their fees and costs in cases where they are the prevailing party. <b>[MOVED TO ADMINISTRATION SECTION]</b></del></p> <p><b>Support</b> raising the threshold for re-circulation of EIRs so that only new “significant unavoidable impacts” would necessitate re-circulation.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> requiring that all projects proposed by any state or local public agencies comply with the identical local public notice requirements that would be applicable to projects sponsored by private developers in the jurisdiction where the project is located.</del></p> <p><u><b>Support</b> allowing a tiered Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) subsequent to an EIR with significant impacts and overriding considerations when the subsequent project qualifies for an MND.</u></p> <p><b><u>Definition of a Project</u></b></p> <p><b>Support</b> narrowing the definition of “project” to discourage CEQA lawsuits on non-environmental matters.</p> <p><b><u>Significant Environmental Effects</u></b></p>
--	-------------------------------------	--

		<p><b>Oppose</b> the creation of a new mandate requiring cities to develop boilerplate significance thresholds.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> a single statewide set of standards for determining significance at the local level.</p> <p><b>Support</b> focusing CEQA <del>review by limiting</del> analysis <del>onte</del> physical environmental effects.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> amending the definition of “effects” to eliminate the analysis of indirect and cumulative environmental effects.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> amending the guidelines to clarify requirements for analysis of cumulative and indirect environmental effects.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> development of a clear methodology for preparing CEQA analysis of potential global warming impacts of proposed projects. <b>[MOVED FROM AIRPORT SECTION]</b></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> exempting projects that are subject to their own subsequent environmental review from consideration as a reasonably foreseeable future project when analyzing cumulative impacts.</p> <p><b><u>Alternatives</u></b></p> <p><b>Support</b> eliminating the alternative site requirement for all private projects.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> the elimination of the “no project alternative.”</p> <p><b><u>Coastal Issues</u></b></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that would permit the state to impose <del>unreasonable</del> conditions on Local Coastal Plans developed by cities and counties.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to curb frivolous appeals to local coastal decisions.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>extension of the Federal Coastal Protection Act</del> prohibition of additional <u>oil</u> offshore development based, in part, on concern about the impacts to on-shore support facilities and services by offshore development activities.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that grants authority to the Coastal Commission that is inconsistent, duplicative and overlapping with the authority of other regulatory agencies, such as regional water quality control boards or other agencies or that <del>grants</del> the Coastal Commission authority outside the coastal zone.</p> <p><b><u>Miscellaneous</u></b></p> <p><b>Support</b> the right of cities to serve as Lead Agencies for the purposes of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA).</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> any federal or state regulation, statute or constitutional amendment which would place restrictions on federal, state, and local government actions regulating private property or requiring additional compensation beyond the continually evolving judicial interpretation of the</p>
--	--	---

		<p>Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> flexibility for state and local governments to enact environmental and other standards or mandates that are stronger than the federal standards, reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</del></p> <p><del><b>Oppose</b> legislation that prohibits state and local governments from enacting stricter standards.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> the ability of local governments to voluntarily develop and approve species habitat plans for their communities, in conjunction with willing property owners.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that would consolidate <u>and streamline</u> environmental review studies and processes, and encourage other forms of inter-agency cooperation, for proposed development projects that require permits from multiple jurisdictions (local, state, and federal).</p> <p><del><b>Oppose</b> legislation, proposed administrative procedures or other initiatives that would add</del> redundant environmental review processes.</p>
--	--	---

HOUSING, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
SCOPE		Policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community's economic health.
AIR QUALITY	Community Development /Public Works	See page 8 for the following issues under this category: <del>• Improvements • Regulations • Standards • Land Use • Local Control • Fund Diversion • Governance • Health/Safety Code.</del>
WATER QUALITY	Community Development/ Public Works	See page 8 for the following issues under this category: <del>• Infrastructure investment • Local Control • Standards • Liability • Streamlining reform • “Bounty Hunters” • Water Softeners • Watershed Management • Treatment • Reuse • Reclaimed Water • Numeric Limits • Diversion • Frivolous lawsuits • Remedies • Fees/Penalty revenues • NPDES • State/regional discretion.</del>
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Community Development /Public Works	See page 9 for the following issues under this category: <del>• Local control/standards • Streamlining • Administrrating agencies • Lead agency • Building permits • Land use.</del>
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT	Community Development /Public Works	See page 11 for the following issues under this category: <del>• Local control • AB 939 • Streamline • Green Waste • CIWWB • Diversion • Measurement • Requirements • Non-burn transformation • Market development • Staffing • Variable can rates • E-waste • Curbside recycling • AB 2020 • Packaging • Local LEA • Authority • Landfills • Land use • Landfill certification.</del>
TOURISM	Community Development	<b>Support</b> federal and state efforts to foster tourism policy development and coordination, and raise awareness of the economic impact of travel and tourism. <del><b>Support</b> funding to create a national identity for the U.S. as a premier travel destination with funds to be allocated directly to local governments.</del> <del><b>Support</b> federal and state assistance to the travel and tourism industry.</del>
ARTS, HISTORIC PRESERVATION, CULTURAL RESOURCES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Support</li> <li>• Funding</li> </ul>	Community Development	<del><b>Support</b> the National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, and the Office of Museum Services within the Institute of Museum and Library Services.</del> <b>Support</b> funding for <u>historic preservation, cultural resources, local arts activities, these and arts agencies at levels to sustain the nation's cultural infrastructure, including support for the National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, and the Office of</u>



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Museums, Humanities, Education</li> </ul>		<p><u>Museum Services within the Institute of Museum and Library Services.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> funding for Arts in Education in the U.S. Department of Education's Fund for Improvement of Education to encourage high quality arts instruction in schools.</p>
<p><b>PLANNING AND ZONING</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General Plans</li> <li>Water Supply/Land Use</li> <li>Zoning</li> </ul>	Community Development	<p><b>Support</b> the use of the general plan as a guide to meeting community planning needs. A city's general plan should not be subject to mandatory review by regional or state agencies.</p> <p><del>Support having the best information available on the reliability of water supplies when land use decisions are made by local agencies, while protecting and retaining local land use decision-making authority.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> protection of local zoning as a primary function of cities and an essential component of home rule. State agency siting of facilities, including campuses and office buildings, should be subject to local notice and hearing requirements, and local land use policy and zoning requirements <del>in order</del> to meet concerns of the local community.</p>
<p><b>AFFORDABLE HOUSING</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financing</li> <li>CDBG/<del>HomeHO</del> <u>ME</u></li> <li>Federal Funding</li> <li>Legal Protections</li> <li>◆HOPE VI</li> <li><del>Section 202</del></li> <li><del>Elderly Housing</del></li> <li>Elderly Housing</li> <li>Non-Profits</li> <li>◆Section 8</li> <li>◆Section 811</li> <li>Funding</li> <li>◆McKinney Act</li> <li>Fair Market Rents</li> </ul>	Community Development	<p><b>Support</b> legislation and state and federal programs that assist in providing financing for affordable housing, including the development of fiscal tools and incentives to assist local governments in their efforts to encourage housing and finance the infrastructure to support housing.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal funding for the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) <u>for community development, affordable housing, neighborhood improvement, and economic development needs.</u></p> <p><del>Support federal funding for and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program that creates and preserves affordable housing for low and moderate-income families and individuals.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> federal funding of: <del>1) HOPE VI for an additional ten years; 21) Section 202 for n</del>New construction and rental assistance, modernization of units of elderly housing, <u>also known as HUD Section 202; 23) efforts-Efforts</u> to preserve elderly housing, <del>and</del> permitting nonprofit organizations to purchase elderly housing projects with expiring Section 8 contracts; <u>and 34) Section 811 funding for the disabledHousing for persons with disabilities, also known as HUD Section 811; and 4; and 45) McKinney Act hH</u>omeless assistance grants, <u>also known as McKinney Act-. (S. Faulstich)</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> and encourage legislation that establishes additional legal protections to local agencies that approve affordable housing and that establish local pro-active affordable housing policies.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the preservation of <u>the HUD</u> Section 8 Program <del>F</del>funding.</p>



		<b>Support</b> at the federal level, the calculation of Section 8 “fair market rents” and “area median income” on a sub-regional basis, rather than on a countywide basis.
<u><b>HOMELESS</b></u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Funding</u></li> <li>• <u>Food Distribution</u></li> <li>• <u>Alcohol Impact Areas</u></li> </ul>	<u><b>Community Development/Police</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> legislation and state and federal programs that assist in providing funding for homeless services, including day centers, emergency shelters, transitional housing or permanent supportive housing.</u> <u><b>Support</b> legislation to reinstate the previous definition language for satellite food distribution that exempted non-profit organizations serving prepackaged servings of food from having to meet extensive structural and operational requirements, usually at remote sites, to obtain a permit.</u> <b>Support</b> legislation to allow cities and counties to designate "Alcohol Impacted Areas" and impose strict local review and controls on the issuance of new Alcohol and Beverage Control (ABC) permits within such areas. <u><b>[MOVED FROM PUBLIC SAFETY SECTION]</b></u>
<u><b>JOB CREATION</b></u>	<u><b>Community Development</b></u>	<u><b>Support</b> legislation that will provide tangible and productive tools and incentives to support job creation and retention.</u>

<p><b>HOUSING</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Housing Element</li> <li>• Growth</li> <li>• Accountability</li> <li>• Performance Standard</li> <li>• Incentives</li> <li>• Streamlining</li> <li>• Reforms</li> <li>• Growth</li> <li>• RHNA Units</li> <li>• Disputes</li> <li>• Consistency</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Development</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> the following housing principles:</p> <p><del>Housing issues should be addressed in the general plan as other planning issues are. The housing element should be prepared for the benefit of local governments and should have equal status with the other elements of the general plan.</del></p> <p><del>The projections of regional and local growth and the allocation of housing units should account for state and local planning factors and should be subject to a formal hearing and appeal process to ensure that they are realistic and fair. Cities or cities and counties should be allowed to work together to allocate housing units among themselves within a sub-region. Politically accountable officials at the state and regional levels should hear appeals to ensure that all public entities in the South Coast region receive an equitable allocation of housing units.</del></p> <p>Local government efforts should be subject to realistic performance standards not to arbitrary state agency review of the housing element. Local government housing efforts should be rewarded by incentives. These incentives should include streamlining by not being subject to HCD review, priority ranking for discretionary funds, and new discretionary funds available for general fund purposes.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>and encourage</del> legislation that implements comprehensive reforms to the housing element process to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Address conflicts between local growth projections and state regional housing need numbers;</li> <li>• <u>Allow cities to work together to allocate housing units among themselves within a subregion;</u></li> <li>• Resolve <del>the</del> problems associated with the distribution of RHNA units within a council of governments;</li> <li>• <del>Achieve improvements to</del> <u>Improve</u> the housing element review process; and</li> <li>• Develop a neutral dispute resolution process and fair enforcement alternatives to deal with disputes over questions of compliance.</li> <li>• <u>Revise the formal appeal process so appeals can be heard by politically accountable officials at the state and regional levels;</u></li> </ul> <p><b>Support</b> <del>and encourage</del> legislation that requires state laws and policies, <del>which affect</del> <u>related to housing and land use</u>, to be internally consistent, <del>and consistent with each other.</del></p>
---	-------------------------------------	---

<b>REDEVELOPMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexibility</li> <li>• Liability</li> <li>• Project Area</li> <li>• Redevelopment Plan</li> <li>• ERAF</li> <li>• RDA Sunset</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><u><b>Oppose</b> legislation aimed at eliminating redevelopment agencies.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that increases the flexibility in the use of redevelopment authority.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> limiting authority or increasing the liability of redevelopment agencies.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that provides for the appropriate extension of existing redevelopment project areas that face statutory expiration.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that allows redevelopment agencies to continue to collect tax increment and extend project area activities in pursuit of Redevelopment Plan goals and to provide affordable housing beyond existing statutory deadlines.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation such as Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) and Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) that diverts locally-generated tax increment funds from redevelopment agencies.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation to retain redevelopment agency authority to collect and use the increment for affordable housing beyond the current RDA sunset.</del></p>
<b>RENT CONTROL</b> Ordinances Mobile Homes	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><del><b>Support</b> local control of mobile home rent control ordinances.</del></p>
<b>SUBDIVISION MAP ACT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Antiquated subdivisions</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> maximizing local control over subdivisions and public improvement financing- <u>and maintaining</u>—<del>D</del>discretion over the conditions and length of subdivision and parcel maps should be retained by cities.</p> <p><b>Support</b> reversal of legislation recognizing antiquated subdivisions as legal lots.</p>
<b>RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permitting</li> <li>• Regulating</li> <li>• Licensing</li> <li>• Restrictions</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> permitting cities to exercise review and land use regulation of group home facilities and residential care facilities in residential neighborhoods including the application of zoning, building, and safety standards. State and county licensing agencies should be required to confer with a city's planning agency in determining whether to grant a license to a community care facility. <del>Better review and regulation of residential care facilities will protect both the community surrounding a facility and the residents within a facility from a poorly managed facility or the absence of state oversight.</del></p>

<b>DEVELOPMENT FEES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local authority</li> <li>Infrastructure</li> <li>Mitigation</li> <li>Condition and Deny Authority</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> providing local discretion in the assessment, collection, and usage of development fees. Support the state provision of infrastructure funding to help local communities meet California's growth demands and to increase housing affordability.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> limiting the ability of cities to levy fees to provide for infrastructure or services.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> maintaining city discretion over the extent to which legislative authority should be exercised to fully mitigate impacts from development to the adequacy of school facilities.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> maintaining the cities' ability to condition and deny projects that determine to inadequately mitigate impacts to community schools.</p>
<b><u>STATE SUBVENTIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL LAND CONTRACTS</u></b>	<b><u>Community Development</u></b>	<p><u><b>Support</b> the continuation of State subvention payments to local governments to offset lower property tax rates for agricultural and open space lands in Williamson Act contracts, which provide region-wide protection against conversion of these lands to urban uses and potential associated effects such as loss of prime agricultural soils, loss of agricultural viability, loss of plentiful food supply, loss of habitats, and increased traffic, etc.</u></p>
<b>ANNEXATION AND INCORPORATION</b>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> strengthening city control over urban boundaries— <u>and modifying</u> Sphere of Influence law <del>should be modified</del> to limit urban development in unincorporated areas of a county and to facilitate the annexation of urban areas to cities.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> revising t</u>The Revenue and Taxation Code <del>should to</del> not allow counties to block annexations in exchange for unreasonable property tax sharing agreements.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> In addition, expanded authority for</u> cities <del>should have expanded authority</del> over adjacent lands outside their sphere of influence regardless of jurisdictional lines so long as the land is not within another city's sphere.</p>
<b>DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS</b>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> voluntary development agreements as one tool for providing flexibility in development approvals.</p>
<b>BUILDING STANDARDS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Health and Safety</li> <li>Occupancy</li> <li>Licensing</li> </ul>	<b>Community Development</b>	<p><b>Support</b> flexibility in the adoption and implementation of health and safety standards contained in the building codes.</p> <p><b>Support</b> authorizing cities to adopt independent occupancy standards to prevent overcrowding and associated health and safety hazards, including fire-related fatalities.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that would remove licensing requirements for professionals such as architects, landscape architects, contractors, and others related to building and development.</p>

<b>MILITARY BASE CLOSURE AND REUSE</b>	<b>Community Development</b>	<del>Support</del> local decision-making over closed military base reuse. <b>Support</b> incentives for the reuse of closed military facilities to meet local community needs as determined by local governments.
<b><u>RENT CONTROL/MOBILE HOME REGULATION AUTHORITY</u></b>	<b>Community Development</b>	<b>Support</b> initiatives that maintain cities as the enforcement authority for mobile home park regulation, <u>including rent control</u> . <del>Support</del> the preservation of existing mobile home parks as an important source of affordable housing. <del>Support</del> legislation that would retain the affordability requirement of mobile homes upon annexation.
<b>SIGN REGULATION</b>	<b>Community Development</b>	<b>Support</b> the authority of cities to regulate billboards and other signage.
<b><del>ARTS, CULTURAL RESOURCES, HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND ACTIVITIES</del></b>	<b><del>Community Development, Parks and Recreation</del></b>	<del>Support</del> the continued state funding for local arts activities and historic preservation.
<b>CHILDREN/YOUTH</b>	<b>Community Development, Parks and Recreation, Police, and Library</b>	See page 26 for the following issues in this category: • Job Training • Prenatal Care • Youth Program • Foster Care • Federal Grants • Natural Policy • After School • Equal Access • Educational Programs • Parks and Recreation Facilities • Library funding • Universal Health Care.

TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC WORKS and COMMUNICATIONS		
SCOPE		Review <del>both</del> state and federal legislation <del>as it relates</del> relating to <del>issues of</del> transportation funding, construction, public works, telecommunications, and <del>other</del> related areas.
<b>TRANSPORTATION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Funding</li> <li><del>TEA 21</del></li> <li>Alternative Transportation</li> <li><del>Fund on Merit</del></li> <li><del>Directly Appropriate</del></li> <li>Unmet Infrastructure</li> <li><del>Housing</del></li> <li>Gas tax</li> <li><del>Local Autonomy</del></li> <li>Bicycle/ Pedestrians</li> <li>Commuter Rail/<u>OnTRAC</u></li> <li>Measure <u>AD</u></li> <li><del>On TRAC</del></li> </ul>	Public Works/ Community Development	<p><del>Support continued funding for surface transportation programs authorized in the Transportation Equity Act: Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (MAP 21).</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> additional funding for local public transportation, other transportation alternatives to single occupancy vehicles, and other critical unmet infrastructure needs.</p> <p><del>Support funding projects on their merit in accordance with identified criteria.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> the <u>continued</u> adoption and implementation of a <u>regional</u> long-term capital investment plan and budget to upgrade and repair vital infrastructure.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>a continuous</del> appropriations of <u>existing and</u> new <u>state and federal</u> funds directly to cities and counties for the preservation, maintenance, <del>and</del> rehabilitation, <u>and improvement</u> of the local street and <u>road transportation</u> system.</p> <p><b>Support</b> maximizing investments in infrastructure and reinforce local land use practices to accomplish strategic local growth objectives.</p> <p><del>Support leveraging state investments to fund affordable housing and critical local and regional infrastructure projects to accomplish regional priorities.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>enhanced autonomy for</del> local transportation decision-making and pursue transportation policy changes that move <del>more</del> funding and decision-making to <u>the</u> local <del>policy leaders</del><u>level and to</u> <del>or</del> fund urban infrastructure needs.</p> <p><u><b>Support</b> funding opportunities for bicycle and pedestrian projects.</u></p> <p><del>Support bicycle and pedestrian access with maximum local flexibility to prioritize this transportation need, as long as funding is available directly for it and other transportation priorities are not affected.</del></p> <p><del>Support legislation that would provide funding to explore the feasibility of establishing commuter rail service within the region.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation and policies that promote the goals, objectives, and continuation of Measure <u>D-pA</u> programs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation and policies that promote the goals and objectives of the On-TRAC <u>commuter rail</u> program.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal funding of Highway 101 improvements as a top regional priority, <del>not precluding and</del> federal funding for local priority projects and other funding categories.</p>

		<p><b>Support</b> legislation that maintains or increases local funding <u>for transportation projects</u>, including State gas tax, Highways Users' Tax (HUTA) Proposition 42 and Proposition 1B funding.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that prevents "borrowing" or taking of local transportation funds by the State of California.</p>
<b>PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexibility</li> <li>• Partnerships</li> <li>• Innovations</li> <li>• Lower Mission Creek</li> </ul>	Public Works	<p><b>Support</b> <u>maintaining retention proceeds for any public works contract to assure that the primary contractor completes all required work and permits the City's final acceptance of the project.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> retaining maximum flexibility for timely and cost-effective completion of public works projects.</p> <p><b>Support</b> innovative strategies including public-private partnerships at the state and local levels to enhance public works funding.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>changes to law that allow cities the</del> options to use design-build contracting and other innovations designed to enhance efficiency with public contracting.</p> <p><b>Support</b> continued funding for the Lower Mission Creek project in annual federal appropriations and authorized by the Water Resource Development Act.</p>
<b>VEHICLES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Road Damage</li> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Safety</li> </ul>	Public Works/ Community Development	<p><b>Oppose</b> <del>all efforts to increase</del> <u>ing</u> the weight of large vehicles <u>allowed on city streets</u> to prevent <del>damage to</del> street and roadway infrastructure <u>damage and associated that would result in increased</u> <del>higher</del> street maintenance costs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> retention of maximum City control of the local street and road system.</p> <p><b>Support</b> traffic safety enhancements such as motorcycle helmets, child restraints, seat belt and speed limit laws.</p> <p><del>Allow for</del> <b>Support</b> <del>greater</del> local discretion <del>for setting to set</del> lower speed limits.</p>
<b>CABLE TELEVISION – ACCESS AND REVENUES</b>	Finance	<p><del><b>Support</b> the ability of cities to retain public, educational and government access channels, institutional networks and franchise revenues from cable television and other video providers.</del></p> <p><b>[MOVED TO REVENUE AND TAXATION SECTION]</b></p>
<b>TELECOMMUNICATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Local Control/ Taxes</del></li> <li>• Infrastructure</li> <li>• Right-of-Way</li> </ul>	Public Works	<p><del><b>Oppose</b> any state or federal efforts to erode the ability of local governments to maintain existing taxes on telecommunication services. <b>[MOVED TO REVENUE AND TAXATION SECTION]</b></del></p> <p><b>Support</b> the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use.</p>

AIRPORT		
SCOPE		Issues related to federal and state legislation affecting airport operations funding regulation, and implementation of <del>the Airport Master Plan, the Aviation Facilities Plan.</del>
	Airport	<p><b>Support</b> legislation reauthorizing <u>Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)</u> and the Airport Improvement Program (AIP), including support of the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Increase AIP funding and maintain or reduce the 5% AIP match requirement for small airports;</li> <li>○ Strengthen or maintain budget protections for AIP funding;</li> <li>○ Eliminate the competition plan requirement for AIP;</li> <li>○ Eliminate unnecessary AIP grant assurances; and</li> <li>○ Maintain or expand revenue sources that contribute to AIP.</li> </ul> <p><b>Support</b> federal legislative efforts to maintain or increase appropriations to the AIP for infrastructure projects relating to safety, security, aviation operations and capacity, and noise abatement.</p> <p><b>Support</b> dedicated aviation security operations and infrastructure funding sources separate from the AIP.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to reimburse airports for operational and infrastructure costs associated with implementation of federal security and other mandates.</p> <p><b>Support</b> bankruptcy reform legislation that helps protect airports from the impact of airline bankruptcies.</p> <p><b>Support</b> reclassification of all airport bonds as ‘governmental’ instead of ‘private activity.’</p> <p><b>Support</b> continuation of the elimination of the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) penalty on Airport Private Activity Bonds.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to provide airports with greater flexibility in the use of AIP and Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) funds, including elimination of the mandated loss of entitlement funds from imposing a higher PFC.</p> <p><b>Support</b> an increase or elimination of the cap on PFC charges.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to streamline the airport PFC application and reporting process.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> equitable distribution of jet fuel tax revenues.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to <u>eliminate and</u> restructure the <u>federal</u> Airport Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program.</p>



		<p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that provides individual airports flexibility in establishing rental car Customer Facility Charges (CFC) based on local market conditions and debt service needs.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> funding for modernization of the FAA air traffic control equipment to improve the safety and efficiency of the national air transportation system.</p>
<b>SAFETY AND SECURITY</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Streamlining</li> <li>• Cargo Screening</li> <li>• Staffing</li> <li>• TSA</li> <li>• Ground Transportation</li> </ul>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> streamlining the airport security screening process to reduce passenger inconvenience and improve customer service, while maintaining security and safety.</p> <p><b>Support</b> a threat based (risk-based) system of air cargo inspection and screening.</p> <p><b>Support</b> local primacy in granting access to airport restricted areas.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to preserve current staffing levels for Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting (ARFF) crews.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> an adequately funded Transportation Security Administration (TSA).</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> timely, secure and cost effective background screening for Airport employees.</p> <p><b>Support</b> measures to improve Santa Barbara Airport's ability to regulate and enforce rules established for ground transportation providers.</p>
<b>ENVIRONMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noise standards</li> <li>• Greenhouse Gases</li> <li>• Airport Noise</li> <li>• Environmental Review</li> </ul>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> measures to alleviate noise impacts on communities surrounding airports that benefit both the community and the airport such as the implementation of a phased Stage 3 requirement for lighter jet aircraft and the phase-out of noisy Stage 1 and Stage 2 jet aircraft weighing less than 75,000 lbs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to reduce pollution, including potential greenhouse gas emissions, resulting from aircraft operations and airport ground service equipment.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> efforts to expand state airport noise standard variance requirements.</p> <p><b>Support</b> additional steps to expedite the airport environmental review and approval process while preserving environmental protections.</p>

<b>AIR SERVICE</b>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that stimulates the airline industry's ability to provide air service to Santa Barbara.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that recognizes or encourages aviation's contributions to the economy that result from air travel, tourism, commerce, freight transport, and manufacturing.</p> <p><b>Support</b> a passenger bill of rights that is consistent with Airport and SBA passenger needs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> changes that would enhance Santa Barbara Airport's ability to improve air service options for local travelers.</p>
<b>TRANSPORTATION/INFRASTRUCTURE</b>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation funding connectivity between air and ground transportation through improved infrastructure and enhancement of alternative transportation options.</p>
<b>PLANNING</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Land Use</li> <li>• Planning</li> <li>• <del>CEQA</del></li> <li>• Coordination</li> </ul>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> efforts to ensure that development around airports is consistent with land use planning guidelines and compatible with airport operations.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> development of a clear methodology for preparing CEQA analysis of potential global warming impacts of proposed projects. <b>[MOVED TO ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SECTION]</b></del></p> <p><b>Support</b> coordination between FAA and sponsor airports on <u>National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)</u> compliance issues and preparation of joint NEPA/CEQA documents.</p>
<b>RENTAL CAR CONCESSIONS</b>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> airport interests in proposed legislation that may impact the terms under which rental car concessions operate at the airport.</p>
<b>EDUCATION</b>	<b>Airport</b>	<p><b>Support</b> aviation education that informs students about career opportunities in aviation and encourages interest in a math and science curriculum.</p>

<b>WATERFRONT</b>		
<b>SCOPE</b>		Issues related to federal and state legislation affecting harbor operations, funding, and regulation.
<b>PUBLIC ACCESS</b>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<b>Support</b> continued public access to marinas, state tidelands, waterfront facilities, and marine resources.
<b>ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTIONS</b>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<b>Support</b> legislation that recognizes economic contributions of ports and harbors to state and federal economies, through maritime trade, maritime industries and commercial fishing.
<b>CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT/FEDERAL REGULATION</b>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<b>Support</b> <del>Congressional oversight</del> <u>coordination</u> of Executive Agencies to ensure timely permitting of statutorily mandated Civil Works projects, and contain regulatory demands that inflate project costs.
<b>SEARCH AND RESCUE OPERATIONS</b>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<b>Support</b> the U.S. Coast Guard's services mission to conduct <u>Homeland Security and</u> search-and-rescue operations as <del>an agency priority</del> <u>agency priorities</u> .
<b>ENVIRONMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Authority</li> <li>• Disposition of Crafts</li> <li>• Non-Native Marine Species</li> <li>• Waste</li> <li>• Seabirds</li> <li>• Water Pollution</li> <li>• Fuel Tank</li> <li>• Oil Spills</li> <li>• Recycling</li> <li>• Boat Paint</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b><u>General</u></b></p> <p><b>Support</b> enhanced local authority over disposition of wrecked, derelict, abandoned, non-operable or non-seaworthy craft.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that encourages and <del>/or</del> funds eradication or control of non-native marine species in ports and harbors.</p> <p><b>Support</b> physical alternatives or grant funds for disposal of marina-generated household wastes, <del>dredge materials</del> or treated building materials.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to ensure seabird protection while allowing ports and harbors to control or abate nuisance fowl.</p> <p><b><u>Water Pollution</u></b></p> <p><b>Support</b> state or federal funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems.</p> <p><b>Support</b> state or federal funding <del>of mitigation or remediation efforts</del> <u>to mitigate or remediate</u> non-point source <u>and point-source</u> pollution that affects harbor water quality.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> and advance programs and state funding aimed at reducing or eliminating point-source pollution in California ports and harbors.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to fund oil-spill prevention and <del>/or recovery</del> <u>response</u> in ports and harbors.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to fund recycling and/or disposal options for waste oil and other byproducts of maritime activities or vessel maintenance.</p> <p><b>Support</b> efforts to research and test alternatives to copper-based anti-fouling paints for boat bottoms.</p>

<b>FISHERIES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fishing</li> <li>• Ecological Health</li> <li>• Planning</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b>Support</b> environmentally compliant commercial and recreational fishing, as essential to the fabric of working ports and harbors.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislative efforts to sustain the ecological health of aquatic biological systems, including fish, shellfish, and marine mammals.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> a planning process for the Marine Life Protection Act in Southern California that includes input from the science community and all interested stakeholders to protect the marine heritage and ensure long term viability of coastal communities and fisheries.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> protection of the California Gray Whale and efforts to assess its population, mortality rates and migration rates.</p>
<b>LOCAL CONTROL</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revenues</li> <li>• Use</li> <li>• Preservation</li> <li>• Environment</li> <li>• Sanctuaries</li> <li>• Local Control</li> <li>• Cruise Ships</li> <li>• Air Quality</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><del><b>Support</b> <u>compliance with the California Constitution as it relates to continuation of the Tidelands Trust Grant and requirements that all revenues generated within the granted lands are used to repair, maintain, and improve facilities and infrastructure within those granted lands.</u> <u>preservation of tideland revenues, as well as the return of local user fees, locally collected property taxes and similar funds to the California ports and harbors of origin.</u></del></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation allowing ports and harbors to establish or designate local control over special-use areas for vessels or related recreational equipment of a specific type or use.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the preservation of ocean resources through established marine sanctuaries, while reserving the ability to comment on potential sanctuary expansion <del>by the Harbor District</del> that could affect activities including but not limited to dredging, vessel traffic, wastewater disposal, <u>or</u> general construction, <del>and</del> maintenance and repair <u>of Waterfront facilities.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> local jurisdictional control and environmental/regulatory oversight of cruise ship visits near ports and harbors.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> state and federal efforts to reduce air and water quality impacts of shipping in the Santa Barbara Channel.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> state and federal efforts to reduce environmental impacts of shipping, including whale strikes, in the Santa Barbara Channel.</p> <p><b>Support</b> state and federal efforts to reduce environmental impacts of oil seeps in the Santa Barbara Channel.</p>

<b>DREDGING</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding</li> <li>• Material</li> <li>• Corps of Engineers</li> <li>• Federal Initiatives</li> <li>• Obligation</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b>Support</b> policies and funding for dredging small-craft ports and harbors, including <u>environmentally feasible disposition of dredged materials and/or</u> the use of dredged materials for beach nourishment.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> opportunities, when environmentally feasible, for the removal and disposition of dredged materials.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> full execution by the Corps of Engineers of its basic navigation, shore and flood protection mission, as well as environmental restoration and recreation authorized by Congress.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal initiatives that benefit <del>Congressionally authorized</del> marine infrastructure needs including: Maritime Infrastructure Banks; <del>Marine Transportation System Vision 2020;</del> <u>maintenance dredging of Santa Barbara Harbor</u>, expanded ocean dredge disposal sites; and development of new upland dredge disposal and reuse sites. <del>with provisions of federal ownership and cost sharing for such sites.</del></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> any action that would prohibit <u>the</u> U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from realizing its <del>mission</del> obligation to dredge Santa Barbara Harbor.</p>
<b>FACILITIES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding</li> <li>• Abandoned Watercraft</li> <li>• Utilities</li> <li>• Boat Launching</li> <li>• Repair and Maintain Harbor Facilities</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation <u>providing federal or state grant or low-interest loan funds granting federal funds (or low-interest, no-interest loans)</u> for development of harbor infrastructure facilities.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislative or administrative efforts to eliminate the state Department of Boating and Waterways and/or reduce <del>/ or</del> reallocate its budget.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislative or administrative efforts to reallocate or divert funds from the intended purposes of the <u>State</u> Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, as described in <del>Sections 85-88 of</del> the California Harbors and Navigation Code.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation expanding and/or increasing the budget of the state's Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund <u>and Vessel Turn In Program</u>.</p> <p><del><b>Oppose</b> legislative or administrative efforts to decrease the budget of the state's Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation providing harbor managers a financially feasible means of supplying <u>and recovering costs of</u> utilities; such as electricity to berths and marinas.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that funds construction and/or maintenance of boat-launching facilities.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that seasonally restricts <u>the</u> ability to repair and maintain harbor facilities, while considering impacts <u>s</u> to sensitive species.</p>

<b>BOATING SAFETY</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Education</li> <li>• Enforcement</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b>Support</b> boating safety, including education and enforcement or regulation of boating practices, vessel types and equipment.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation implementing a boater-safety certification program.</p> <p><b>Support</b> <del>public boating</del> safety and enforcement efforts through funding of equipment, <u>training and other resources</u> utilized by Harbor Patrol Officers.</p>
<b>ENFORCEMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public Safety</li> <li>• Homeland Security</li> </ul>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that promotes public safety and law enforcement efforts in or near California ports and harbors through funding resources.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> Homeland Security legislation, programs and/or grants that benefit and protect small ports and harbors.</p>
<b>EDUCATION</b>	<b>Waterfront</b>	<p><b>Support</b> programs that utilize the California Department of Boating and Waterways as an educational vehicle for <del>non-regulatory</del> boating and harbor <del>-</del>management programs.</p>

COMMUNITY SERVICES		
SCOPE		Issues related to childcare, parks and recreation, libraries, cultural arts, and community and human services programs.
<b>PARKS FUNDING</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Programs</li> <li>Community Park Land</li> <li>Partnerships</li> <li>Coastal Access</li> </ul>	Parks and Recreation	<p><b>Support</b> full federal funding for the Forest Service's Urban and Community Forestry Program, the Land and Water Conservation Fund, and the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Program.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that promotes the acquisition, development or redevelopment of park land to meet community park and recreation needs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> regional multi-agency open space acquisition initiatives.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the continuation of funding for Coastal Access Projects.</p>
<del>ARTS, CULTURAL RESOURCES, HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND ACTIVITIES</del>	<del>Parks and Recreation and Community Development</del>	<del><b>Support</b> the continued state and federal funding for local arts activities and historic preservation. <u>[DUPLICATE LANGUAGE IN COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SECTION]</u></del>
<u>HEALTH FACILITIES</u>		<u><b>Support</b> an increase in the number of hospice beds allowed in the County for persons who are terminally ill or diagnosed with a life-threatening illness.</u>
<b>CHILD CARE</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Child Care</del></li> </ul>	Parks and Recreation	<p><b>Support</b> the creation of more affordable, innovative, and quality local child care options for parents and concurrently encourage adherence to strict regulations and guidelines.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that encourages child care facilities throughout the community.</del></p> <p><del><b>Support</b> state and federal efforts to provide high quality, safe, and affordable childcare for all who need it.</del></p>

<p><b>CHILDREN/YOUTH</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Job Training</li> <li>• Prenatal Care</li> <li>• Youth Program</li> <li>• Foster Care</li> <li>• Federal Grants</li> <li>• After School</li> <li>• Equal Access</li> <li>• Parks and Recreation Facilities</li> <li>• Educational Programs</li> <li>• Library funding</li> <li>• Health Care</li> </ul>	<p><b>Parks and Recreation/ Police/ Community/ Development/ Library</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> state and federal funding for school-based job training programs <del>in order</del> to produce more job placement opportunities and collaborations with municipal services.</p> <p><b>Support</b> increased state and federal funding for prenatal care, early health care, preschool, and childcare programs to ensure healthy children and school readiness.</p> <p><b>Support</b> increased funding for foster care.</p> <p><b>Support</b> creation of permanent state or federal funding sources for youth programs.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal reauthorization and full funding of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the Child Care and Development Block Grant, and the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) block grant, including after-school recreation and tutoring programs.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> formation of a President's national youth cabinet to create a comprehensive national policy for children.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> increased state and federal funding for affordable after-school programs and programs that promote and enhance physical fitness and well-being of children and youth.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that would provide state and federal funding for increased access for children with disabilities to after-school and other recreation programs, including required staff support related to medications, mobility, and activity integration.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that would provide state and federal funding for the development and operation of park and recreation facilities that serve underprivileged children, families, and older adults and which promote and enhance physical health and well-being of children, youth, adults and seniors.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation providing state and federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation or administrative efforts to decrease the state's budgets for First 5 and K through 12 programs that support children and families.</p> <p><b>Support</b> the concept of universal health care for all Californians.</p> <p><b>Support</b> incentives to improve coordination of public health considerations with community land use planning.</p>
---	---	---



<p><b><u>STATE NATURAL AREA AND PARK BOND FUNDS</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eligibility</li> <li>• Distribution</li> <li>• Release</li> </ul>	<p><b>Parks and Recreation</b></p>	<p><b>Oppose</b> tying local eligibility for park bond grant funds to non-park related issues, such as rent control or housing element status. Statewide park bond measures should include a component that provides per capita grants to cities and counties.</p> <p><del>Monitor quarterly state actions regarding distribution or hold-up of allocated bond funds and other state funding sources related to Creeks Program and park capital projects.</del></p> <p><del>Work with League of California Cities to</del> <b>Support efforts to</b> assure bond funds are not held for other state funding needs.</p>
<p><b>PUBLIC LIBRARIES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding</li> <li>• Computers</li> <li>• State Public Library <u>FoundationFund</u></li> <li>• Privacy Rights</li> </ul>	<p><b>Library</b></p>	<p><b>Support</b> full funding of the State Public Library <del>Foundation Fund</del>so that the state's full share of funding is provided to the program, understanding how libraries play an integral role in building and sustaining our communities.</p> <p><u><b>Oppose</b> elimination or further reduction of the State Public Library Fund or other sources of library funding.</u></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation providing federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.</p> <p><b>Support</b> federal funding for telecommunications equipment and services in public libraries <del>in order</del> to provide equal access to information <del>to-for</del> all residents; oppose requirements on use of federal funds for Internet access services for adults that mandate installation of filtering software.</p> <p><del>Support efforts to maintain and restore the State Public Library Foundation.</del></p> <p><del>Oppose reductions of funding for library services.</del></p> <p><del>Oppose any further reduction of the State Public Library Foundation.</del></p> <p><u><b>Oppose</b> elimination of the California Library Literacy and English Acquisition Services Program.</u></p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation that requires public libraries to install and maintain computer-filtering software for use on computers in the library that, in an attempt to block obscene materials, also prevents access to material protected by the First Amendment.</p> <p><b>Oppose</b> legislation, regulations, and guidelines that erode privacy, information access and Constitutional rights, and oppose the use of governmental authority to suppress the free and open exchange of information and ideas.</p>

<b>SENIORS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programs</li> <li>• Care Facilities</li> <li>• Wellness</li> </ul>	<b>Parks and Recreation</b>	<p><b>Support</b> efforts to develop and improve intergenerational recreation programs and activities that include seniors.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> legislation that would provide funding for side-by-side day care facilities for California's youth, adults, and seniors.</del></p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that facilitates development of senior residential and day care facilities integrated within the community-at-large.</p> <p><b>Support</b> funding for wellness, physical activity, <del>and</del> recreational programs, <u>and day care</u> for seniors on low or fixed incomes.</p> <p><del><b>Support</b> funding for senior daycare facilities and programs.</del></p>
<b>NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION</b>	<b>Parks and Recreation</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that fosters protection and restoration of natural resources, including streams, stream and riparian habitat, wetlands, estuaries, rural and urban open space, etc.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that provides local agencies with matching grants and/or technical support from <u>the</u> California <u>Natural</u> Resources Agency or other state agencies to revise and implement new resource protection policies and programs.</p>
<b>PARKS AND YOUTH EDUCATION</b>	<b>Parks and Recreation</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that establishes new grants or expands <u>the</u> scope of existing grants (currently limited to urbanized areas with populations over 100,000) for which the City would be eligible for park development, park improvement, and youth recreation programs.</p>
<b>PROPOSITION 49/50/84/1E</b>	<b>Parks and Recreation</b>	<p><b>Support</b> legislation that distributes bond funds as competitive monies to a range of State agencies and does not limit funds based on geographic location or scope of need.</p> <p><b>Support</b> legislation that allows grantees <u>s an</u> opportunity to receive grant funds for project design and permitting, in addition to construction, and also provides a mechanism to extend completion schedules.</p>